UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,883	09/28/2001	John S. Hendricks	026880.00034	2102	
4372 ARENT FOX	7590 02/06/2008		EXAMINER		
1050 CONNEC	1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			LANEAU, RONALD	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3714		
•			r		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/06/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
. Office Action Summary		09/964,883	HENDRICKS, JOHN S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ronald Laneau	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	September 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress [Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 10-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the companion of	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10112007.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Response to Amendment

1. Following the Appeal Brief Conference decision, prosecution of this application is hereby reopened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark (US 5,383,112) in view of Boulton et al (US 4,985,697).

Clark discloses an inventory management which tracks the purchase orders and copies of media of video recorders wherein a video network including a video server operates several video recorders to simultaneously recording video performances or programs on a plurality of channels., portable scanners are used to associate an employee id, a media copy code, a location code and a date and time stamp together to track inventory and to provide accountabilities for the media copies (see abstract) a billing computer 26 seen in fig. 1 that can communicate with a viewer for providing electronic books for purchase and subscribers to continue to view the information downloaded as needed. Clark does not disclose tracking the purchase of an electronic book but Boulton discloses an electronic book educational publishing that can be use and tracked by the system of Clark.

electronic books are needed.

09/964,883

Art Unit: 3714

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the purchase tracking system as taught by Clark into the system of Boulton because it would automatically calculate need quantities of electronic books and dates at which

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number:

09/964,883

Art Unit: 3714

Page 4

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald Laneau Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

Ronald Janeau

1/31/08

rl